# Decision Making Theory 

Week 5 -
Transportation Models

## Outline

マ Transportation Modeling
$\checkmark$ Developing an Initial Solution
V The Northwest-Corner Rule
$\square$ The Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method
$\square$ Vogel's Approximation Method
$\checkmark$ Optimization method
$\boxtimes$ The Stepping-Stone Method
$\square$ MODI (Modified Distribution) Method
$\checkmark$ Special Issues in Modeling
$\square$ Demand Not Equal to Supply
V Degeneracy

## Learning Objectives

When you complete this module you should be able to:

1. Develop an initial solution to a transportation models with the northwest-corner, intuitive lowest-cost methods and Vogel's Approximation Method
2. Solve a problem with the stepping-stone and MODI (Modified Distribution method
3. Balance a transportation problem
4. Solve a problem with degeneracy

## Transportation Modeling

$\square$ An interactive procedure that finds the least costly means of moving products from a series of sources to a series of destinations
$\square$ Can be used to help resolve distribution and location decisions


## Transportation Modeling

$\checkmark$ A special class of linear programming
V Need to know

1. The origin points and the capacity or supply per period at each
2. The destination points and the demand per period at each
3. The cost of shipping one unit from each origin to each destination

## Transportation Problem

| From |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Albuquerque | Boston | Cleveland |
| Des Moines | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 3$ |
| Evansville | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 3$ |
| Fort Lauderdale | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 5$ |

## Transportation Problem



## Transportation Matrix



Initial solution

## Northwest-Corner Rule

V Start in the upper left-hand cell (or northwest corner) of the table and allocate units to shipping routes as follows:

1. Exhaust the supply (factory capacity) of each row before moving down to the next row
2. Exhaust the (warehouse) requirements of each column before moving to the next column
3. Check to ensure that all supplies and demands are met

## Northwest-Corner Rule

1. Assign 100 tubs from Des Moines to Albuquerque (exhausting Des Moines's supply)
2. Assign 200 tubs from Evansville to Albuquerque (exhausting Albuquerque's demand)
3. Assign 100 tubs from Evansville to Boston (exhausting Evansville's supply)
4. Assign 100 tubs from Fort Lauderdale to Boston (exhausting Boston's demand)
5. Assign 200 tubs from Fort Lauderdale to Cleveland (exhausting Cleveland's demand and Fort Lauderdale's supply)

## Northwest-Corner Rule

| To <br> From | (A) <br> Albuquerque |  | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland |  | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | 100 | \$5 | \$4 |  | \$3 | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | 200 | \$8 | 100 \$4 |  | \$3 | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale |  | \$9 | $5-\overline{100}, \$ 7$ | 200 | \$5 | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 |  | $200$ | 200 |  | 700 |

Means that the firm is shipping 100 bathtubs from Fort Lauderdale to Boston

## Northwest-Corner Rule

## Computed Shipping Cost

| Route |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| From | To | Tubs Shipped | Cost per Unit | Total Cost |
| D | A | 100 | $\$ 5$ | 500 |
| E | A | 200 | 8 | 1,600 |
| E | B | 100 | 4 | 400 |
| F | B | 100 | 7 | 700 |
| F | C | 200 | 5 | $\$ 1,000$ |

This is a feasible solution but not necessarily the lowest cost alternative

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method

1. Identify the cell with the lowest cost
2. Allocate as many units as possible to that cell without exceeding supply or demand; then cross out the row or column (or both) that is exhausted by this assignment
3. Find the cell with the lowest cost from the remaining cells
4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until all units have been allocated

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method

| From | (A) <br> Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | T5 |  | $100 \quad 10$ | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | \$8 | \$4 | \$3 | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | \$9 | \$7 | \$5 | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method

| To <br> From | (A) <br> Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) <br> Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | $5$ | $1$ | $100 \quad$ | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | \$8 | \$4 | 100 | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | \$9 | \$7 | $\$$ | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |

Second, $\$ 3$ is again the lowest cost cell so ship 100 units from Evansville to Cleveland and cross off column C as Cleveland is satisfied

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method

| From | (A) <br> Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | + | L | 100 | 100 |
| (E) Evansville |  |  | 100 | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | \$9 |  |  | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |

Third, $\$ 4$ is the lowest cost cell so ship 200 units from Evansville to Boston and cross off column $B$ and row $E$ as Evansville and Boston are satisfied

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method

| To <br> From | (A) Albuquerque | (B) Boston | C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | $\square$ |  | 100 | 100 |
| (E) Evansville |  | 200 | 100 L | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | 300 \$9 |  | \$ | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |

Finally, ship 300 units from Albuquerque to Fort Lauderdale as this is the only remaining cell to complete the allocations

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method

| To <br> From | (A) <br> Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | $1$ |  | $100$ | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | $10$ | $200 \mathrm{~L}$ | $100$ | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | $300 \quad \$ 9$ |  |  | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Total Cost } \quad & =\$ 3(100)+\$ 3(100)+\$ 4(200)+\$ 9(300) \\ & =\$ 4,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 3(100)+\$ 3(100)+\$ 4(200)+\$ 9(300) \\ & =\$ 4,100 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## Intuitive Lowest-Cost Method



Figure C. 4

## Vogel's Approximation Method

1. For each row and column of the transportation table, find the difference between the two lowest unit shipping costs.
2. Identify the row or column with the greatest opportunity cost, or difference.
3. Assign as many units as possible to the lowest cost square in the row or column selected.
4. Eliminate any row or column that has just been completely satisfied by the assignment just made.
5. Recompute the cost differences for the transportation table.

## Vogel's Approximation Method

| To <br> From | (A) <br> Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) <br> Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | \$5 | \$4 | \$3 | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | \$8 | \$4 | \$3 | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | \$9 | \$7 | \$5 | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |
|  | 8-5=3 | $4-4=0$ | $3-3=0$ |  |

## Vogel's Approximation Method

|  | (A) Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | $100 \quad \$ 5$ | $X \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ } \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ \$ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | \$8 | \$4 | \$3 | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | \$9 | \$7 | \$5 | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |
| $9-8=1$ |  | 7-4=3 | 5-3=2 |  |

## Vogel's Approximation Method

|  | (A) Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | $100 \quad \$ 5$ | $X \quad$ \$4 | $\boldsymbol{X}$ \$3 | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | $\boldsymbol{X} \quad \$ 8$ | $200^{\$ 4}$ | $100^{\$ 3}$ | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | \$9 | $\boldsymbol{X}$ \$7 | \$5 | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |
| $9-8=1 \quad 5-3=2$ |  |  |  |  |

## Vogel's Approximation Method

|  | (A) <br> Albuquerque | (B) Boston | (C) Cleveland | Factory capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (D) Des Moines | $100 \quad \$ 5$ | $x \quad \$ 4$ | $x \quad \$ 3$ | 100 |
| (E) Evansville | $\boldsymbol{X} \quad \$ 8$ | $200^{\$ 4}$ | $100^{\$ 3}$ | 300 |
| (F) Fort Lauderdale | 200 \$9 | X \$7 | 100 \$5 | 300 |
| Warehouse requirement | 300 | 200 | 200 | 700 |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Total Cost } & =\$ 5(100)+\$ 4(200)+\$ 3(100)+\$ 9(200)+\$ 5(100) \\ & =\$ 3,900 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

exercise

9-16 (1)

The Saussy Lumber Company ships pine flooring to three building supply houses from its mills in Pineville, Oak Ridge, and Mapletown. Determine the best transportation schedule for the data given in the table. Use the northwest corner rule and the stepping-stone method

| To <br> From | Supply House 1 | Supply House 2 | Supply House 3 | Mill Capacity (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pineville | \$3 | \$3 | \$2 |  |
| Oak Ridge | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Mapletown | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Supply House Demand (Tons) | 30 | 30 | 35 | 95 |

## 9-17 (1)

The Krampf Lines Railway Company specializes in coal handling. On Friday, April 13, Krampf had empty cars at the following towns in the quantities indicated:
TOWN SUPPLY OF CARS
Morgantown ..... 35
Youngstown ..... 60
Pittsburgh ..... 25

By Monday, April 16, the following towns will need coal cars as follows:

DEMAND FOR CARS

Coal Valley 30
Coaltown
45
Coal Junction 25
Coalsburg 20

## 9-17 (3)

Using a railway city-to-city distance chart, the dispatcher constructs a mileage table for the preceding towns. The result is shown in the table below. Minimizing total miles over which cars are moved to new locations, compute the best shipment of coal cars.

| FROM | TO | COAL <br> VALLEY | COALTOWN | COAL <br> JUNCTION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COALSBURG |  |  |  |  |
| MORGANTOWN | 50 | 30 | 60 | 70 |
| YOUNGSTOWN | 20 | 80 | 10 | 90 |
| PITTSBURGH | 100 | 40 | 80 | 30 |

Thank you

